

From: KBAY ACC1(uc) [mailto:ACC1uc@dms.mcbh.usmc.mil]
Sent: Friday, February 10, 2006 4:13
To: SMTZ-MARFORPAC DMS ALL Messages; SMTZ-MARFORPAC G4 DMS Messages;
'MARFORK DMS MESSAGES'; 'MARFORPAC G4 DMS MESSAGES'
Subject: REQUEST FOR COMMENTS ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MARINE CORPS EQUIPMENT READLINE 100440Z FEB 06
Importance: Low

TO CG I MEF(uc)
CG I MEF G-4(uc)
CG III MEF(uc)
CG III MEF G-4(uc)
CC COMMARFORPAC G4(uc)
CG 1ST MARDIV G-4(uc)
CG 1ST MLG G-4(uc)
CG 3RD MAW G4(uc)
CG 1ST MAW G-4(uc)
CG 3D MLG G-4(uc)
CG 3RD MARDIV G-4(uc)
CG II MEF G4(uc)

MSGID/GENADMIN/COMMARFORPAC G4//
SUBJ/REQUEST FOR COMMENTS ON THE MARINE CORPS EQUIPMENT /READINESS INFORMATION TOOL (MERIT) ONE NUMBER CONCEPT /INITIATIVE//
POC/ MGYSGT/MARFORPAC G4/LOC:CAMP H.M. SMITH, HI
/TEL:DSN 315-477-8553/EMAIL: //
POC/./MAJ/MARFORPAC G4/LOC:CAMP H.M. SMITH, HI
/TEL:DSN 315-477-8585/EMAIL: //
GENTEXT/REMARKS/1. PURPOSE. THE PURPOSE OF THIS MSG IS TWOFOLD.
1.A. TO SOLICIT COMMENTS REGARDING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ONE NUMBER CONCEPT.
1.B. TO ASSESS THE FUTURE VALUE OF THE MARINE CORPS AUTOMATED READINESS EVALUATION SYSTEM (MARES).
2. BACKGROUND.
2.A. OVER THE YEARS, GROUND EQUIPMENT READINESS HAS BEEN REPORTED USING THE AUTHORIZED (AUTH), POSSESSED (POSS), AND EQUIPMENT DEADLINE (D/L) QUANTITIES RESIDENT IN MARES, A SUB-SECTION OF MARINE CORPS INTEGRATED MAINTENANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS (MIMMS).
2.B. THE CURRENT MARINE CORPS EQUIPMENT POSTURE, REQUIRING

THE IMPLEMENTATION OF CROSS LEVELING INITIATIVES COUPLED WITH THE INCREASED USAGE OF MERIT, AMPLIFIED THE DISCREPANCIES BETWEEN MIMMS AND SUPPORT ACTIVITY SUPPLY SYSTEM (SASSY) RECORDS. ALTHOUGH NOT A NEW PROBLEM, IT HAS HIGHLIGHTED THE NEED TO ASSESS THE FEASIBILITY OF USING INFORMATION FROM AUTHORITATIVE FILES VICE RELYING ON DATA MANUALLY INDUCTED VIA MARES.

2.C. AT PRESENT, MERIT, THROUGH THE MATERIEL READINESS INTEGRATED PRODUCT TEAM (MRIPT), IS CONSIDERING THE ONE NUMBER CONCEPT.

3. ONE NUMBER CONCEPT AND METHODOLOGY. THE INTENT OF THE ONE NUMBER CONCEPT IS TO ESTABLISH SASSY AS THE SINGLE SOURCE FOR AUTHORIZED AND POSSESSED QUANTITIES, FROM WHICH ALL GROUND EQUIPMENT READINESS CALCULATIONS WILL BE MADE WITHIN MERIT.

3.A. BENEFITS WILL INCLUDE:

3.A.1. LESS CONFUSION, FEWER SPREADSHEETS, AND MORE CONSENSUSES ON DATA IN GENERAL.

3.A.2. ENHANCED COMMUNICATION BETWEEN SUPPLY AND MAINTENANCE PERSONNEL.

3.A.3. MORE ACCURATE NUMBERS WHICH WILL PROVIDE A MORE REALISTIC PICTURE OF READINESS.

3.A.4. ENSURES ALL DECISIONS ON USMC GROUND EQUIPMENT WOULD BE MADE WITH THE SAME INFORMATION BASE.

3.A.5. ELIMINATES DUPLICATION OF LABOR.

3.B. OBSTACLES TO ENCOUNTER:

3.B.1. ACCURACY OF SUPPLY RECORDS.

3.B.2. VALUE OF SPECIFIC LEGACY SYSTEMS.

3.B.3. CHANGE IS NEVER POPULAR.

4. ASSESSMENT OF MARES.

4.A. IMPLEMENTING THIS CONCEPT WILL REQUIRE SIGNIFICANT POLICY CHANGES AND A COMPLETE CULTURAL SHIFT IN THE WAY THE MARINE CORPS REPORTS GROUND EQUIPMENT.

4.B. THE REQUIREMENT TO MAINTAIN MARES MUST BE ADDRESSED WITH

RESOLUTION. QUESTIONS TO ASK/ANSWER:

4.B.1. SHOULD THE LM2 REPORT BE MAINTAINED CONCURRENT WITH MERIT?

IF SO, WHY?

4.B.2. HOW VALUABLE ARE RM4 REMARKS? SHOULD A SIMILAR
CAPABILITY

RESIDE IN MERIT?

4.B.3. IS NOW THE TIME TO RETIRE MARES? IF SO, HOW?

5. ACTION. PROVIDE COMMENTS/CONCURRENCE TO THIS HQS ON THE
PROPOSED INITIATIVE AND ASSESSMENT OF MARES NLT 28 FEB 06.//